

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/808,884	03/14/2001	Eric John Hewitt	AHA-02101	5252		
28960	7590 07/18/2006		EXAMINER			
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD			TORRES, JOSEPH D			
SUNNYVALI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2133			
				DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/808,884	HEWITT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph D. Torres	2133		

		Joseph D. Torres		2133				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sh	eet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REI	PLY FILED 29 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			•				
1. ⊠ The this pla a F	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the followices the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	n the same day as filin wing replies: (1) an an otice of Appeal (with a	ng a Notice of mendment, aff appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) 🗌 b) 🛭	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) th ater than SIX MONTHS (b). ONLY CHECK BOX	e date set forth from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
nave beer under 37 ( set forth in may reduce	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	on which the petition ur tension and the corresp shortened statutory peri r than three months afte	onding amount od for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
2. The	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte lotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CF)	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. 🔯 Th (a) (b)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  They raise new issues that would require further co  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or se w);	earch (see NO	TE below);				
4. 🔲 Th	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Not			(PTOL-324).			
3. 🔲 Ne	<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
7. A Fo hov The Cla Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is protestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: sim(s) allowed: sim(s) objected to: sim(s) rejected: 11-22. sim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-7 and 23-40.	⊠ will not be entere vided below or apper	d, or b) 🗌 wi nded.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. 🔲 The	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good ans not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the da d sufficient reasons v	te of filing a No why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
ent sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejection y and was not earlier	ns under appe presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a			
	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the	claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
<u>S</u>	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu				nce because:			
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	Inche.		lo(s)				
	/ <b>P</b>	JOSEPH TORRE	6 ED					
			-n	Joseph D. Torres, I Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2133	PhD			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Fifing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060713

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims introduce language that needs further search and/or consideration.